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Work plan and description of progress

The present study examines empirically and theoretically the epistemic climate in schools. The concept of epistemic climate is defined as a context encompassing different epistemic factors and processes that interact and influence person’s epistemology (Feucht, 2010). The epistemic climate is studied from different perspectives and the thesis will consist on four articles with different focus.

In the first article I studied how students evaluated and justified the use of internet-based information. The more detailed abstract is in the following chapter.

Next article will be a theoretical examination of how different aspects of epistemic climate could be studied. This article will focus on the development of method which will be used to study different components and processes of the epistemic climate.

Third and fourth articles demonstrate how this method is used in different contexts.

I have submitted one article to Information Research -journal and it is now in review. One article with the similar focus is published in a textbook “From Identity to Information Literacy” (in Finnish), which is intended for teachers interested in developing pedagogical practices and information literacy.

Depending on funding, my thesis submission will be in 2018 or 2019.

Abstract of the first article

The study deals with students’ criteria in the evaluation of internet sources for a school assignment requiring reflections on a controversial issue. The findings are elaborated by analysing students’ discursive accounts in justifying the use or non-use of sources.

The interview data was collected in a Finnish upper-secondary school during classes on religion and ethics. 39 students were interviewed in 1-3 person groups after they had given the presentation in the classroom.

The interviews were analysed using discourse analysis in social psychology approach (DASP). The analysis concerned how different accounts related to information evaluation are used to justify the truthfulness of knowledge.

The most used evaluation criteria were the authority and neutrality of information. Various types of evaluation criteria and arguments were used simultaneously. The arguments were, in part, comparable to evaluation criteria presented in previous research (e.g. Rieh, 2002; Metzger, 2007).

The evaluation criteria are cultural objects which can be utilized in various ways in different discursive contexts. The criteria were used in students’ accounts alternately, not as mutually exclusive. Personal interests and high motivation with
regard to the subject of the school assignment were essential for students in order to identify and use more reflective and diverse argumentation related to evaluation criteria.

**Research problems for discussion**

First I would like discuss about the methods that researchers use in the field of human information behavior and practice. In my first article I used the DASP -approach (McKenzie, 2003) to get new insights and complementary information to the research of evaluation criteria and judgement factors and I found out that there are not many research papers that have this or some other constructionist approach (Tuominen, Talja and Savolainen, 2002). That is why I would like to discuss about the use of other than quantitative methods in the research of evaluation criteria and judgement factors (e.g. Rieh, 2002; Metzger, 2007). I would also like to get comments about the use of the DASP -approach in the study of students’ personal epistemology.

Another issue to discuss is about the relation between the research of evaluation criteria of internet sources and personal epistemology. In my thesis the students’ personal epistemology is one part of epistemic climate and I think that it is essential to see the connection between the research of evaluation criteria and personal epistemology. One example of the connection was that most students that I interviewed had studied different kinds of check lists which they had to memorize to get truthful information, but they didn’t reflect their own understanding of what knowing or truth is. It can be problematic to ask which criteria students use when they are seeking information, because they just answer what teacher (or librarian) has told them. That is why I think that the research of evaluation criteria needs an in-depth approach to complement the quantitative results and the personal epistemology is an essential part of the process of information evaluation.
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